arXiv:1509.08142v1 [math.FA] 27 Sep 2015

# STABLE NORMED ALGEBRAS

E. ANSARI PIRI*, S. NOURI

Abstract. In this paper we introduce a new property for normed algebras. This property which we call it stability, plays a key role in the studying of the theory of almost multiplier maps. In this note we study some of the basic properties of this notion and characterize stable algebras among similar algebras.

## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

There are many papers discussing on the stability of maps on normed algebras. In this note we do not discuss on the stability of such maps on a normed algebra but, we introduce a special property for a class of normed algebras which we call stability on normed algebras and these algebras are called stable normed algebras. The general theory of multipliers on a faithful Banach algebra was introduced by Wang in [7] and Birtal in [2]. Nearly all of the results about multiplier maps are proved for faithful normed algebras. In [1], we have introduced the almost multiplier maps and investigate some properties of these maps for stable normed algebras instead of faithful normed algebras.

In section 2 of this note, we define stable normed algebras and compare this group of algebras with the faithful algebras and the normed algebras with an approximate identity. In section 3, we prove a result for stable normed algebras which is in fact an equivalent definition of stable normed algebras and also we define the notion of stable normed algebras on the modules.

*Date*: Received: xxxxxx; Revised: yyyyyy; Accepted: zzzzzz.

* Corresponding author

2010 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: 46H99.

*Key words and phrases.* stable normed algebras, almost multipliers, faithful Banach algebra, bounded approximate identity.

In section 4, we close the paper by introducing some questions which we believe to be open.

We recall that a normed algebra $A$ is left (resp. right) faithful if for all $x \in A$, $xA = 0$ ($resp.$ $Ax = 0$), implies $x = 0$. The normed algebra $A$ is faithful if it is left and right faithful. Let $A$ be a normed algebra. A net $(e_\lambda)$ in $A$ is called a left ( resp. right, two-sided ) approximate identity, if for all $x \in A$, $\lim_{\lambda\in\Lambda} e_\lambda x = x$, (resp. $\lim_{\lambda\in\Lambda} xe_\lambda = x$, $\lim_{\lambda\in\Lambda} e_\lambda x = x = \lim_{\lambda\in\Lambda} xe_\lambda$).

## 2. CHARACTERIZING STABLE NORMED ALGEBRAS

In this section we introduce the notion of stable normed algebra and compare it with similar algebras. In fact we prove that every stable normed algebra is faithful and give an example of a faithful Banach algebra which is not stable. Also we compare these algebras with the algebras having an approximate identity.

**Definition 2.1.** *We say that the normed algebra $A$ is a left (resp. right) stable normed algebra if for all $a \in A$ and $M > 0$; if we have $\|ab\| \leqslant M\|b\|$ ($resp.$ $\|ba\| \leqslant M\|b\|$ ) for all $b \in A$, then we can conclude $\|a\| \leqslant M$. The normed algebra $A$ is stable if it is both left and right stable.*

**Proposition 2.2.** *Every stable normed algebra $A$ is faithful.*

*Proof.* For $a \in A$, let $aA = 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. For all $b \in A$ we have $\|ab\| = 0 \leqslant \varepsilon\|b\|$ and so $\|a\| \leqslant \varepsilon$, which implies $a = 0$. □

Now obviously, all theorems and results which hold for faithful normed algebras also valid for stable normed algebras.

Here we give an example to show that the class of stable normed algebras are essentially different from the class of faithful algebras.

**Example 2.3.** *Suppose $A = (\ell^1(\mathbb{N}), \|.\|_1)$ with the usual definition of operations (pointwise). Then $A$ is a faithful Banach algebra which is not stable. For if define $a_n = \frac{1}{2^n}$, then $(a_n) \in A$, and for all $(b_n) \in A$ we have $\|(a_n).(b_n)\| \leq \frac{1}{2}\|(b_n)\|$, but $\|(a_n)\| = 1 > \frac{1}{2}$.*

Finally, we give an example of a normed algebra witch is neither a faithful nor a stable algebra.

**Example 2.4.** *The matrix algebra $M_{2\times 2}(\mathbb{C})$ is an stable normed algebra, but it's subalgebra $A = \{\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : a, b \in \mathbb{C}\}$, with the usual matrix multiplication and norm $\| \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \| = max\{|a|, |b|\}$, is a Banach algebra which is not stable and faithful. Since*

$$\| \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \| = \| \begin{pmatrix} ac & ad \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \| = |a|\ max\{|c|, |d|\} = |a|\ \| \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \|$$

*But it is not necessarily true that, $\| \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \| \leq |a|$.*

*Moreover, since $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} c & d \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} ac & ad \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, if $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} A = 0$ it is not necessarily true that, $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0$.*

*If we define $\| \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \| = \sqrt{|a|^2 + |b|^2}$ or $\| \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \| = |a| + |b|$ on $A$. Then $A$ is not stable yet.*

**Remark 2.5.** *The above example also shows that a subalgebra of an stable normed algebra is not necessarily stable.*

It is easy to see that if $A$ has a bounded approximate identity, then it is faithful. Here we verify the relation between a stable normed algebra and the algebra with a bounded approximate identity.

**Proposition 2.6.** *Every normed algebra with a bounded left (resp. right) approximate identity with bound 1, is a left (resp. right) stable normed algebra.*

*Proof.* Suppose $A$ is a normed algebra and let $(e_\alpha)_{\alpha\in I}$ be a bounded left approximate identity of A with bound 1. For $a \in A$ and $M > 0$ if we have $\|ab\| \leqslant M\|b\|$, for all $b \in A$, then for all $\alpha \in I$, $\|ae_\alpha\| \leqslant M\|e_\alpha\| \leqslant M$ and therefore $\|a\| \leqslant M$. □

**Corollary 2.7.** *Every unital normed algebra is stable.*

**Proposition 2.8.** *Let $(A, \|.\|)$ be a commutative normed algebra with a bounded sequential approximate identity. Then there is an equivalent algebra norm $|.|$ on $A$ such that, $(A, |.|)$ is stable.*

*Proof.* By [5, theorem 7.3], there is an equivalent norm $|.|$ on $A$ for which there exists another sequential approximate identity on $A$ with bound 1. So by proposition 2.6, $(A, |.|)$ is stable. □

**Proposition 2.9.** *Every C*-algebra $A$ is Stable.*

*Proof.* For $a \in A$ and all $M > 0$, if we have $\|ab\| \leq M\|b\|$, since $A$ is C*-algebra we have $\|a\| = \sup_{\|b\|\leq 1} \|ab\| \leq M$. □

There are of course unital stable normed algebras which are not C*-algebra.

By proposition 2.6 every normed algebra with a bounded approximate identity of bound 1 is stable, but proposition 2.9 shows that for $C^*$- algebras, the bound 1 is not necessarily for $(e_\alpha)_{\alpha\in I}$ making $A$ to a stable normed algebra. Since C*-algebras may have a bounded approximate identity with bound greater than 1 and, the following theorem shows that a stable Banach algebra may have no approximate identity.

**Theorem 2.10.** *There are stable Banach algebras with no approximate identity.*

*Proof.* Let $B$ be any unital commutative Banach algebra, and suppose that $a \in B$, with $\|a\| = 1$ where $a$ is not an invertible element. Moreover suppose

$$\inf\{\|ax\| : \|x\| = 1\} = 1.$$

Define $A = aB$. Then $A$ with the norm $\|ab\|' = \|b\|$ for $b \in B$, is a commutative Banach algebra. Now we show that $A$ is a stable Banach algebra without any approximate identity.

Assume $A$ has an approximate identity $(ae_\lambda)_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$. Then there exists $\lambda_0$ such that $\|a(ae_{\lambda_0}) - a\|' < 1$ and so $\|ae_{\lambda_0} - 1\| < 1$. Thus $(ae_{\lambda_0})^{-1}$ exists in $B$ and $ae_{\lambda_0}(ae_{\lambda_0})^{-1} = 1$. It means $a$ has inverse in $B$, which is a contradiction. So $A$ has no approximate identities.

Now we show that $A$ is stable. Suppose $b \in B$ and $M > 0$. If we have $\|(ab)(ax)\|' \leq M\|ax\|'$ for all $x \in B$. Then $\|bax\| \leq M\|x\|$. Since $B$ is unital, by corollary 2.7, it is stable. Therefore by definition of stable algebras $\|ba\| \leq M$. Now we have

$$\|b\| = \|b\|.1 \leq \|b\| \, \|\frac{b}{\|b\|} \, a\| \leq M.$$

So $\|ab\|' = \|b\| \leq M$. □

In the next example, we show that such an algebra $B$ asserted in theorem 2.10, exists.

**Example 2.11.** *Let $D \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ be the open unit disc and suppose $\mathcal{A}(D)$ is the set of all continuous functions on $\overline{D}$ which are holomorphic on $D$. Then $\mathcal{A}(D)$ with the usual definitions of operations (pointwise) and the uniform norm $\|.\|_\infty$, is a unital commutative Banach algebra.*

*Now assume $f_0(z) = z$, then $\|f_0\|_\infty = 1$ and it is a singular element of $\mathcal{A}(D)$. Also by the maximum modulus principle theorem*

$$\|f_0 f\|_\infty = \sup_{z \in \overline{D}} |zf(z)| = \sup_{z \in \partial D} |zf(z)| = \|f\|_\infty,$$

*for all $f \in \mathcal{A}(D)$. This shows that*

$$\inf\{\|f_0 f\|_\infty : \|f\|_\infty = 1\} = \{\|f\|_\infty : \|f\|_\infty = 1\} = 1.$$

## 3. Some results on stable normed algebras

In [1], we have proved some results about almost multiplier maps on the stable normed algebras and there are many questions about validity of famous theorems for stable normed algebras. In this section we present an equivalent relation for stability and investigate some properties which are valid for stable normed algebras. We also extend the notion of stable normed algebras for modules.

**Theorem 3.1.** *The normed algebra $A$ is stable if and only if $\|a\| = ||L_a||$, for all $a \in A$.*

*Proof.* Suppose $A$ is a stable normed algebra. By the definition if, for all $a \in A$ and $M > 0$; if we have $\|ab\| \leqslant M\|b\|$ for all $b \in A$, then we can conclude $\|a\| \leqslant M$. So if for all $a \in A$ and $M > 0$; if we have $\|L_a\| \leqslant M$ then $\|a\| \leqslant M$. It means $\|a\| \leqslant \|L_a\|$ and so $\|a\| = \|L_a\|$.

Now suppose $\|a\| = \|L_a\|$, for all $a \in A$ if for all $a \in A$ and $M > 0$; if we have $\|ab\| \leqslant M\|b\|$ for all $b \in A$, then by taking spermium we have $\|a\| = \|L_a\| \leq M$. □

**Theorem 3.2.** *Suppose that $A$ is a stable Banach algebra then $A$ is isometrically isomorphic with a subalgebra of $B(A)$.*

*Proof.* Let $A$ be a stable normed algebra and $B(A)$ be the Banach algebra of all bounded linear maps on $A$. Since $A$ is faithful the map $\phi : A \longrightarrow B(A), \quad a \to L_a$, is the natural embedding.

In the following, we can see that $\phi(A)$ is a closed subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}(A)$, in norm topology. Suppose $L_{a_n} \to T$ in $\phi(A)$, therefore $(L_{a_n})$ is a Cauchy sequence. So for any arbitrary $\varepsilon > 0$ and $b \in A$ with norm less than 1, $\|a_n b - a_m b\| \leq \varepsilon$, for some $N > 0$ and every $m > n > N$. Since $A$ is stable, we have $\|a_n - a_m\| \leq \varepsilon$. It means that there is an $a \in A$, such that $a_n \to a$ and therefore $T = L_a$. Finally by theorem 3.1, $\phi$ is an isometry. □

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let $A$ be a stable normed algebra then its completion is stable too.*

*Proof.* By theorem 3.1, it is enough to show that $\|\tilde{a}\| = \|L_{\tilde{a}}\|$, for all $\tilde{a} \in \tilde{A}$. Since $A$ is stable we have $\|a\| = \|L_a\|$, for all $a \in A$. Let $\tilde{a} \in \tilde{A}$, so there is a sequence $(a_n) \in A$ such that $a_n \to \tilde{a}$. Therefore $\|L_{a_n}\| = \|a_n\| \to \|\tilde{a}\|$. Moreover, since $B(\tilde{A}) = \widetilde{B(A)}$, $L_{a_n} \to L_{\tilde{a}}$ in $B(\tilde{A})$. So $\|L_{a_n}\| \to \|L_{\tilde{a}}\|$, it means $\|\tilde{a}\| = \|L_{\tilde{a}}\|$. □

Now we extend the notion of stability on modules.

**Definition 3.4.** *Let $A$ be a normed algebra and $X$ be a left(resp. right) normed $A$-module. We say $A$ is left(resp. right) stable on $X$ if for all $a \in A$ and $M > 0$; if we have $\|ax\| \leqslant M\|x\|$ (resp. $\|xa\| \leqslant M\|x\|$ ) for all $x \in X$, then we can conclude $\|a\| \leqslant M$.*

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let $A$ be a stable normed algebra. Then*

i) *$A$ is stable on every ideal $I$ of $A$.*

ii) *If $A$ is unital, then $A$ is stable on $A^*$.*

*Proof.* (ii) Suppose for $a \in A$ and $M > 0$, we have $\|fa\| \leq M\|f\|$, for all $f \in A^*$. By the Hahn Banach theorem, there exists $f \in A^*$ such that $|f(a)| = \|a\|$ and $\|f\| \leq 1$. So for this $f$ we have

$$\|a\| = |f(a)| = |f(a.1)| \leq \|fa\| \leq M\|f\| \leq M.$$

□

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let $A$ be a normed algebra and $X$ be a left normed $A$-module. If $A$ is stable on $X$ then*

i) *If for $a \in A$ we define $\varphi_a : X \to X$ by $\varphi_a(x) = ax$, then $\varphi_a \in B(X)$ and $\|a\| \leq ||\varphi_a\| \leq k\|a\|$, where $k$ is the constant module coefficient.*

ii) *The completion $\tilde{A}$ of $A$ is stable on $X$.*

## 4. a list of open questions

Here we give some questions which we believe they are open.

(1) If $(A, \|.\|)$ is stable and $p \in En(A)$. Is $(A, p)$ stable?
(2) Suppose $A$ is a stable normed algebra. Is the second dual $A^{**}$ of $A$ (with the first or second Arens product) stable?
(3) If $N$ is a bi-ideal of stable normed algebra $A$, is $\frac{A}{N}$ stable?
    As is well known, the quotient algebra of a $C^*$-algebra is a $C^*$-algebra. But to prove this matter the fact that every $C^*$-algebra has an approximate identity has been used and therefore for a general stable normed algebra we think the answer of this question is negative.
(4) If $I$ is an ideal of a stable algebra, is $I$ itself a stable normed algebra?

## References

[1] E. Ansari-Piri and S. Nouri, *Almost multipliers and some of their properties*, Submitted.
[2] F. Birtal, *Isomorphism and isometric multipliers*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (1962), no. 13, 204-210.
[3] R.B. Burchel, *An introduction to classical complex analysis*, 1 , Acad. Press (1979).
[4] F.F. Bonsall, J. Duncan, *Complete Normed Algebras*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New Yourk, 1973.
[5] P. G. Dixon, *Approximate identity in normed algebras*, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 26 (1973) 485-492.

[6] R.S. Doran and J. Wichmann, *Approximate identities and factorization in Banach modules*, Lecture note in mathematics, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New Yourk, 1979.
[7] J. Wang, *Multipliers of commutative Banach algebras*, Pacific J. Math **11**(1961),1131-1149.

Department of Pure Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Science, University of Guilan, Rasht, Iran.
*E-mail address*: eansaripiri@gmail.com; solmaznouri85@gmail.com